1 2 3 4	Gregory M. Fox, State Bar No. 070876 BERTRAND, FOX & ELLIOT The Waterfront Building 2749 Hyde Street San Francisco, California 94109 Telephone: (415) 353-0999 Facsimile: (415) 353-0990		
567	Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF RICHMOND, RICHMOND CHIEF OF POLICE CHRIS MAGNUS, And RICHMOND POLICE OFFICERS T. HAUSCHILD and E. SOUSA		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	BRITTANY EAST,	Case No.: CV10 2392 SBA MED	
12 13	Plaintiff, vs.	STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO COMPLETE ADR AND MEDIATION OF THE CASE	
14 15 16 17	CITY OF RICHMOND, a municipal corporation; CHRIS MAGNUS, in his capacity as Chief of Police of for the CITY OF RICHMOND; T. HAUSCHILD, individually and in his capacity as a Police Officer for the CITY OF RICHMOND; E. SOUSA, individually and in his capacity as a Police Officer for the CITY OF RICHMOND; and DOES 1-25, inclusive,		
19 20	Defendants.		
21	IT IS HERERY STIPLIL ATED by the pa	rties hereto that the date for completion of ADR in	
22	this case be extended from Monday November 29, 2010 until that date 60 days following the filing o		
23	an Answer by Defendants CITY OF RICHMOND, RICHMOND CHIEF OF POLICE CHRIS		
24	MAGNUS, and RICHMOND POLICE OFFICERS T. HAUSCHILD and E. SOUSA. Good cause		
25	exists for this extension given defendants Motion to Dismiss was granted by the Court with leave to		
26	amend by plaintiff. Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint by Court order must be filed by Novembe		
27	16, 2010. The case is therefore not present.	ly at issue. Additional time is necessary for the	

defendants to review the First Amended Complaint and decide on an appropriate responsive pleading.

Case 4:10-cv-02392-SBA Document 27 Filed 11/19/10 Page 2 of 2

1	The parties had a telephonic conference call with Ms. Robin Siefkin, ADR Program Staff Attorney	
2	on November 16, 2010 and it was Ms. Siefkin's opinion that this extension of time to complete ADI	
3	was reasonable given the circumstances of this case. The parties therefore respectfully request th	
4	Court Order this Stipulation extending the time to complete ADR to 60 days after the filing of a	
5	Answer.	
6	So Stipulated:	
7	Dated: November 16, 2010 BERTRAND, FOX & ELLIOT	
8		
9	By:/s/ Gregory M. Fox	
10	Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF RICHMOND,et al	
11	Dated: November 16, 2010 LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS	
12		
13	By: Benjamin Nisenbaum	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff BRITTANY EAST	
15	ATTORNEY ATTESTATION	
16	I hereby attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for any signatures indicated by a conformed signature ("/s/") within this E-filed document.	
17		
18		
19	Dated: November 16, 2010/s/	
20	Gregory M. Fox	
21	ORDER	
22	Good Cause Appearing the Stipulation is So Ordered.	
23		
24	Dated:11/18/10United States District Court Judge	
25	Office States District Court Judge	
26		
27		
28		